



Editorial

The natural history of Annonaceae

This special issue of *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* focuses on the systematics and evolution of Annonaceae. Ever since the start of the standardized use of plant family names, with the publication of *Genera plantarum* by de Jussieu (1789), Annonaceae have been an easily identifiable entity. The numerous cultivated species have provided further recognition of the family for centuries. On a local scale, many species are used for their fleshy, edible fruits and others for aromatic, spicy, medicinal or mechanic properties. Perhaps most pleasing, and more widely known, are the essential oil of the Asian species ylang-ylang (*Cananga odorata* (Lam.) Hook.f. & Thomson) and the edible fruits of several species of the Neotropical genus *Annona* L. Halfway through the 17th century, *Annona* spp. had already been widely distributed across the Atlantic and were among the popular fruits cultivated in the first Dutch settlement at the Cape of Good Hope. Trees of *C. odorata* had probably been widely planted in Polynesia and Micronesia before the species was further disseminated to Africa and the New World in colonial times.

The 18th, 19th and early 20th centuries also saw the initial gatherings of Annonaceae in natural history collections. Given the large number of species (*c.* 2400 recognized to date; Rainer & Chatrou, 2006) and the ecological preponderance in tropical rainforests (e.g. Gonmadje *et al.*, 2011), a lack of specimens has never been a major problem in Annonaceae systematics. However, remarks about the complexities of the taxonomy and systematics of the family can be found in several publications by expert botanists (Maas, 1983; Schatz & Le Thomas, 1993). Even the most productive and proficient Annonaceae systematist, Robert E. Fries, wrote in his treatment in *Die natürlichen Pflanzenfamilien* (edited by Engler & Prantl) that the ‘systematic classification of the Annonaceae poses very large difficulties, when the goal is not only to provide an identification scheme but also to clarify the natural relationships among genera and the phylogeny of the family’ (Fries, 1959: 39).

In the early 1980s, and little over 20 years after Fries’ last paper, systematic research on Annonaceae was rejuvenated when scholars at the Utrecht Insti-

tute of Systematic Botany chose to make the family the focus of their activities and to encourage international research collaboration. This decision was driven by the Neotropical focus of the Institute, the criterion to choose a group of woody plants given the expertise in systematic wood anatomy and the availability of many new plant collections gathered from the 1970s onwards. Over the years, these research efforts would expand to cover the systematics of Annonaceae across the entire distribution area of the family. Moreover, independent research efforts sprouted at several institutes worldwide, each with its own focus regarding geographical areas, clades and life-history characters. The papers in this special issue provide an overview of almost 30 years of research effort.

An important aspect of systematics research in general, and of work on Annonaceae in particular, has been the spreading of taxonomic baseline information to end-users in the shape of floristic treatments, revisions and monographs (e.g. Maas, Westra & Chatrou, 2003; Su & Saunders, 2006; Couvreur, 2009; Weerasooriya & Saunders, 2010) and available databases (e.g. Rainer & Chatrou, 2006; Maas *et al.*, 2011). This special issue includes two papers in this tradition: identification keys to all genera (Couvreur *et al.*, 2012) and a bibliography enhancing access to the most relevant literature on Annonaceae taxonomy (Erkens, Mennega & Westra, 2012).

During the early days of international, collaborative research on Annonaceae, the theory of phylogenetic systematics was gaining ground and was incorporated into systematic studies of selected genera (e.g. Koek-Noorman, Zandee & Westra, 1988). A number of family-wide inventories accumulated data on macromorphological, anatomical and palynological features (Le Thomas, 1980, 1981; van Setten & Koek-Noorman, 1986, 1992; van Heusden, 1992). The extensive observations that these inventories produced profoundly informed taxonomic revisions and monographs (e.g. Maas & Westra, 1984, 1985, 1992; Kessler, 1988) and the first papers on phylogenetic relationships among genera based on morphological characters (Doyle & Le Thomas, 1994, 1996, 1997).

Phylogenetics is at the heart of this special issue on Annonaceae. After the first paper on phylogenetics of Annonaceae that included DNA sequence data, although in combination with morphological data (Doyle, Bygrave & Le Thomas, 2000), many papers have appeared that have addressed phylogenetic relationships at different taxonomic levels, using different sets of taxa and markers. In line with similar efforts at the level of angiosperms (APG II, 2003; APG III, 2009) and land plants (Chase & Reveal, 2009), genera have been re-circumscribed to comply with the principle of monophyly (e.g. Chatrou, Koek-Noorman & Maas, 2000; Erkens *et al.*, 2007; Mols *et al.*, 2008; Chatrou *et al.*, 2009; Zhou, Su & Saunders, 2009; Zhou *et al.*, 2010). Although this process of changing generic delimitations is not complete, phylogenetic patterns are well-enough resolved to update the subfamilial and tribal classification. In this issue, Chatrou *et al.* (2012) present the largest phylogenetic analyses of the family to date, based on a supermatrix including eight plastid markers, and revise the infra-familial classification. All 108 currently recognized genera are accommodated in this classification.

The new classification will ensure unambiguous communication about clades. The phylogenetic tree on which it is based can, however, be used to address a variety of questions and hypotheses beyond mere classification. It provides the phylogenetic framework for most of the papers presented here. Not only does this maximize the consistency of the special issue and comparability of the papers, but also reciprocally sheds light on characters that have been studied for decades. Do these characters contain any evolutionary signal, when reviewed against the latest phylogenetic insights? Do these insights perhaps shed a different light on the homology assessment of these characters? These questions are addressed in two papers, focusing on pollen morphology (Doyle & Le Thomas, 2012) and wood anatomy (Koek-Noorman & Westra, 2012).

A stimulating complementarity is produced by three papers that focus on pollination biology from different angles. Gottsberger (2012) reviews several decades of fieldwork on the pollination of flowers of Annonaceae, with observations on floral morphology, odour, thermogenesis and pollinating insects. This paper has a focus on pollination syndromes, i.e. the interplay of the various factors. This paper is complemented by Saunders (2012), whose analyses involve optimization of pollinating insects on the phylogenetic tree for three of the four subfamilies, the phylogenetic relationships of which are best resolved. By adopting a rigorous framework of phylogenetic optimizations, correlations between pollinators and flower morphology are discussed. A third paper related to pollination biology reviews the chemical characterization of floral

scent (Goodrich, 2012). *Cananga odorata* is best known and cultivated for its odour, but, in the words of the 19th century British botanist Richard Spruce, Annonaceae are ‘most novel to the European botanist’ because of ‘the curious leathery, dull-coloured but often richly-scented flowers’ (Spruce, 1908: 41). Goodrich’s paper is perhaps the most reductionist of the three papers on pollination biology because of the unraveling of odours into various compounds, yet these results are discussed in the broad context of ecology and phylogenetic relationships.

Annonaceae have been shown to be a good proxy for tropical rainforests as their abundance and richness increases with higher temperature and precipitation (Punyasena, Eshel & McElwain, 2008). To reconstruct the evolution of the tropical rainforest biome requires an understanding of the dynamics of the evolution of its inhabitants, such as Annonaceae. Two papers in this issue address questions related to the dynamics of diversity of Annonaceae over evolutionary timescales. Erkens, Chatrou & Couvreur (2012) test the possible occurrence of evolutionary radiations in Annonaceae and correlations with the origin of characters that may have driven these radiations. Pirie & Doyle (2012) assess the phylogenetic and palaeontological uncertainty involved in the estimation of clade ages. Even although strongly focused on phylogenetic methods, both papers benefit greatly from the knowledge of morphological characters so meticulously accumulated by several generations of Annonaceae systematists.

This issue brings together information on the systematics, evolution and ecology of Annonaceae that has been compiled over the past 30 years or so. However, the research over this period could not have been carried out without the many students of Annonaceae from earlier times who passed on their collections, their knowledge and their fascination for the family, and it is tempting to speculate on future developments in research on Annonaceae. We currently see the application of Annonaceae in novel research on the genetics of ovule development with agronomic potential (Lora *et al.*, 2011), conservation of genetic resources (van Zonneveld *et al.*, 2012) and speciation processes with relevance to conservation (Couvreur *et al.*, 2011). These are just a few examples of a range of research questions, both fundamental and applied, which can be addressed making use of Annonaceae. We hope that future research on Annonaceae will benefit from the compilation of knowledge presented here.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thierry Erkens (Juicy Brain Media) is acknowledged for artwork on the cover.

LARS W. CHATROU¹ FLS, ROY H. J. ERKENS^{2,3},
JAMES E. RICHARDSON^{4,5}, RICHARD M. K.
SAUNDERS⁶ FLS and MICHAEL F. FAY⁷ FLS

¹Wageningen University, Biosystematics group,
Droevendaalsesteeg, 1 Wageningen 6708 PB, the
Netherlands

²Utrecht University, Institute of Environmental
Biology, Ecology and Biodiversity group, Padualaan
8, 3584 CH, Utrecht, the Netherlands

³Maastricht Science Programme, Maastricht
University, Kapoenstraat 2, 6211 KL Maastricht,
the Netherlands

⁴Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh, 20A Inverleith
Row, Edinburgh EH3 5LR, UK

⁵Depto de Ciencias Biológicas, Universidad de Los
Andes, Cra 1A no. 18A-10, Edificio J – Piso 4,
Bogotá, Colombia

⁶School of Biological Sciences, The University of
Hong Kong, Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong, China

⁷Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, Richmond, Surrey,
TW9 3AB, UK

REFERENCES

- APG II. 2003.** An update of the Angiosperm Phylogeny Group classification for the orders and families of flowering plants: APG II. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* **141**: 399–436.
- APG III. 2009.** An update of the Angiosperm Phylogeny Group classification for the orders and families of flowering plants: APG III. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* **161**: 105–121.
- Chase MW, Reveal JL. 2009.** A phylogenetic classification of the land plants to accompany APG III. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* **161**: 122–127.
- Chatrou LW, Escribano MP, Viruel MA, Maas JW, Richardson JE, Hormaza JI. 2009.** Microsatellite flanking regions provide a new source of data for plant species-level phylogenetics. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **53**: 726–733.
- Chatrou LW, Koek-Noorman J, Maas PJM. 2000.** Studies in Annonaceae XXXVI. The *Duguetia* alliance: where the ways part. *Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden* **87**: 234–245.
- Chatrou LW, Pirie MD, Erkens RHJ, Couvreur TLP, Neubig KM, Abbott JR, Mols JB, Maas JW, Saunders RMK, Chase MW. 2012.** A new subfamilial and tribal classification of the pantropical flowering plant family Annonaceae informed by molecular phylogenetics. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* **169**: 5–40.
- Couvreur TLP. 2009.** Monograph of the syncarpous African genera *Isolona* and *Monodora* (Annonaceae). *Systematic Botany Monographs* **87**: 1–150.
- Couvreur TLP, Maas PJM, Meinke S, Johnson DM, Kessler PJA. 2012.** Keys to the genera of Annonaceae. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* **169**: 74–83.
- Couvreur TLP, Porter-Morgan H, Wieringa JJ, Chatrou LW. 2011.** Little ecological divergence associated with speciation in two African rain forest tree genera. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* **11**: 296.
- Doyle JA, Bygrave P, Le Thomas A. 2000.** Implications of molecular data for pollen evolution in Annonaceae. In: Harley MM, Morton CM, Blackmore S, eds. *Pollen and spores: morphology and biology*. Kew: Royal Botanic Gardens, 259–284.
- Doyle JA, Le Thomas A. 1994.** Cladistic analysis and pollen evolution in Annonaceae. *Acta Botanica Gallica* **141**: 149–170.
- Doyle JA, Le Thomas A. 1996.** Phylogenetic analysis and character evolution in Annonaceae. *Bulletin du Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle 4ème série – section B, Adansonia : Botanique, Phytochimie* **18**: 279–334.
- Doyle JA, Le Thomas A. 1997.** Significance of palynology for phylogeny of Annonaceae: experiments with removal of pollen characters. *Plant Systematics and Evolution* **206**: 133–159.
- Doyle JA, Le Thomas A. 2012.** Evolution and phylogenetic significance of pollen in Annonaceae. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* **169**: 190–221.
- Erkens RHJ, Chatrou LW, Couvreur TLP. 2012.** Radiations and key innovations in an early branching angiosperm lineage (Annonaceae; Magnoliales). *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* **169**: 117–134.
- Erkens RHJ, Chatrou LW, Koek-Noorman J, Maas JW, Maas PJM. 2007.** Classification of a large and widespread genus of Neotropical trees, *Guatteria* (Annonaceae) and its three satellite genera *Guatteriella*, *Guatteropsis* and *Heteropetalum*. *Taxon* **56**: 757–774.
- Erkens RHJ, Mennega EA, Westra LYT. 2012.** A concise bibliographic overview of Annonaceae. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* **169**: 41–73.
- Fries RE. 1959.** Annonaceae. In: Engler A, Prantl K, eds. *Die natürlichen Pflanzenfamilien*, 2nd edn. Berlin: Duncker & Humblot, 1–171.
- Gonmadje C, Doumenge C, McKey D, Tchouto G, Sunderland T, Balinga M, Sonké B. 2011.** Tree diversity and conservation value of Ngovayang's lowland forests, Cameroon. *Biodiversity and Conservation* **20**: 2627–2648.
- Goodrich KR. 2012.** Floral scent in Annonaceae. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* **169**: 262–279.
- Gottsberger G. 2012.** How diverse are Annonaceae with regard to pollination? *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* **169**: 245–261.
- van Heusden ECH. 1992.** *Flowers of Annonaceae: morphology, classification, and evolution*. Leiden: Rijksherbarium/Hortus Botanicus.
- de Jussieu AL. 1789.** *Genera plantarum*. Paris: Herissant.
- Kessler PJA. 1988.** Revision der Gattung *Orophea* Blume (Annonaceae). *Blumea* **33**: 1–80.
- Koek-Noorman J, Westra LYT. 2012.** Macrophotographic wood atlas of Annonaceae. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* **169**: 135–189.
- Koek-Noorman J, Zandee M, Westra LYT. 1988.** Studies in

- Annonaceae. VIII. A cladistic analysis of *Tetrameranthus*. *Taxon* **37**: 346–353.
- Le Thomas A. 1980.** Ultrastructural characters of the pollen grains of African Annonaceae and their significance for the phylogeny of primitive Annonaceae (first part). *Pollen and Spores* **22**: 267–342.
- Le Thomas A. 1981.** Ultrastructural characters of the pollen grains of African Annonaceae and their significance for the phylogeny of primitive Annonaceae (second part). *Pollen and Spores* **23**: 5–36.
- Lora J, Hormaza JI, Herrero M, Gasser CS. 2011.** Seedless fruits and the disruption of a conserved genetic pathway in angiosperm ovule development. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **108**: 5461–5465.
- Maas PJM. 1983.** Project systematics of Annonaceae. *Taxon* **32**: 528–529.
- Maas PJM, Westra LYT. 1984.** Studies in Annonaceae. II. A monograph of the genus *Anaxagorea* A.St.Hil., part 1. *Botanische Jahrbücher für Systematik, Pflanzengeschichte und Pflanzengeographie* **105**: 73–134.
- Maas PJM, Westra LYT. 1985.** Studies in Annonaceae. II. A monograph of the genus *Anaxagorea* A.St.Hil., part 2. *Botanische Jahrbücher für Systematik, Pflanzengeschichte und Pflanzengeographie* **105**: 145–204.
- Maas PJM, Westra LYT. 1992.** *Rollinia*. *Flora Neotropica monograph* 57. New York: The New York Botanical Garden.
- Maas PJM, Westra LYT, Chatrou LW. 2003.** *Duguetia*. *Flora Neotropica monograph* 88. New York: The New York Botanical Garden.
- Maas PJM, Westra LYT, Rainer H, Lobão AQ, Erkens RHJ. 2011.** An updated index to genera, species, and infraspecific taxa of Neotropical Annonaceae. *Nordic Journal of Botany* **29**: 257–356.
- Mols JB, Kessler PJA, Rogstad SH, Saunders RMK. 2008.** Reassignment of six *Polyalthia* species to the new genus *Maasia* (Annonaceae): molecular and morphological congruence. *Systematic Botany* **33**: 490–494.
- Pirie MD, Doyle JA. 2012.** Dating clades with fossils and molecules: the case of Annonaceae. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* **169**: 84–116.
- Punyasena SW, Eshel G, McElwain JC. 2008.** The influence of climate on the spatial patterning of Neotropical plant families. *Journal of Biogeography* **35**: 117–130.
- Rainer H, Chatrou LW. 2006.** AnnonBase: World species list of Annonaceae – version 1.1, 12 Oct 2006. Available at: <http://www.sp2000.org> and <http://www.annonaceae.org>
- Saunders RMK. 2012.** The diversity and evolution of pollination systems in Annonaceae. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* **169**: 222–244.
- Schatz GE, Le Thomas A. 1993.** Annonaceae: a primitive dicot family with an ancient center in Africa–South America. In: Goldblatt P, ed. *Biological relationships between Africa and South America*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 86–104.
- van Setten AK, Koek-Noorman J. 1986.** Studies in Annonaceae. VI. A leaf anatomical survey of genera of Annonaceae in the Neotropics. *Botanische Jahrbücher für Systematik, Pflanzengeschichte und Pflanzengeographie* **108**: 17–50.
- van Setten AK, Koek-Noorman J. 1992.** *Studies in Annonaceae. XVII. Fruits and seeds of Annonaceae: morphology and its significance for classification*. Stuttgart: E. Schweizerbart'sche Verlagsbuchhandlung.
- Spruce R. 1908.** *Notes of a botanist in the Amazon and Andes*. Wallace AR, ed. London: Macmillan.
- Su YCF, Saunders RMK. 2006.** Monograph of *Pseuduvaria* (Annonaceae). *Systematic Botany Monographs* **79**: 1–204.
- Weerasooriya AD, Saunders RMK. 2010.** Monograph of *Mitrephora* (Annonaceae). *Systematic Botany Monographs* **90**: 1–167.
- Zhou L, Su YCF, Chalermglin P, Saunders RMK. 2010.** Molecular phylogenetics of *Uvaria* (Annonaceae): relationships with *Balonga*, *Dasoclema* and Australian species of *Melodorum*. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* **163**: 33–43.
- Zhou L, Su YCF, Saunders RMK. 2009.** Molecular phylogenetic support for a broader delimitation of *Uvaria* (Annonaceae), inclusive of *Anomianthus*, *Cyathostemma*, *Ellipeia*, *Ellipeiopsis* and *Rauwenhoffia*. *Systematics and Biodiversity* **7**: 249–258.
- van Zonneveld M, Scheldeman X, Escribano P, Viruel MA, Van Damme P, Garcia W, Tapia C, Romero J, Siguéñas M, Hormaza JI. 2012.** Mapping genetic diversity of cherimoya (*Annona cherimola* Mill.): application of spatial analysis for conservation and use of plant genetic resources. *PLoS ONE* **7**: e29845.